## ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

JUN 1 4 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

| In the Matter of   | ) DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL |
|--|-----------------------------|
| Redevelopment of Spectrum to Encourage Innovation in the | ET Docket No. 92-9          |
| Use of New Telecommunications                            | , RM-7981                   |
| Technologies   | ) RM-8004                   |

## COMMENTS OF MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION

MCI Telecommunications Corporation ("MCI"), by its undersigned attorneys, hereby submits its comments in response to supplemental comments filed on May 19, 1993 by Alcatel Network Systems, Inc. ("Alcatel"). The Alcatel filing provides a Compromise Plan in order to remove differences from previous filings and expedite Commission action on this docket.

MCI currently operates over 800 fixed point-to-point microwave radio paths in the 4 GHz, 6 GHz, and 11 GHz common carrier bands and the 18 GHz and 23 GHz bands shared with private users. Most of these paths carry high-density digital traffic and operate in a 1:N frequency protected configuration. A recent search of our license files revealed the following numbers of frequency protected paths

No. of Copies rec'd 19+14 List A B C D E

for each configuration in the 4 GHz, 6 GHz, and 11 GHz bands:

| Configuration | 4 GHz | 6 GHz | 11<br>GHz |
|---------------|-------|-------|-----------|
|               |       |       |           |
| 1:1           | 0     | 24    | 11        |
| 1:2           | 0     | 51    | 13        |
| 1:3           | 17    | 55    | 13        |
| 1:4           | 5     | 24    | 6         |
| 1:5           | 22    | 37    | 3         |
| 1:6           | 0     | 30    | 2         |
| 1:7           | 21    | 74    | 5         |
| 1:8           | 7     |       | 1         |
| 1:9           | 43    |       | 1         |
| 1:10          | 4     |       | 2         |
| 1:11          | 158   |       | 0         |

These numbers clearly show that MCI frequently uses the maximum traffic carrying capabilities of the 4 GHz and 6 GHz bands. In fact, over 90% of our applications in these bands during the last two years have been for adding channels to an existing 1:N system. Over 40 paths in the MCI system now operate in both the 4 GHz and 6 GHz bands with dual 1:N configurations and another 38-hop backbone route is currently undergoing this expansion.

The above data demonstrates that the current availability of twelve 20 MHz channels in the 4 GHz band and eight 30 MHz channels in the 6 GHz band is not excessive for common carrier applications and should be maintained. MCI continues to be concerned that other commenters are endorsing the sacrifice of some of these wideband channels for the provision of numerous narrowband channel allocations. Our previous comments in this matter have stated the reasons for our concerns and why we think this action is wrong.

At 4 GHz, the Alcatel plan drops a provision for new 40 MHz bandwidth channels. MCI pointed out in previous comments that highly efficient radios (7.77 bits/Hertz) with this bandwidth are now available and will be compatible with synchronous optical networks. The improvement in spectral efficiency from currently used 20 MHz bandwidth radios (4.5 bits/Hertz) should be encouraged by allocating 40 MHz channels in the 4 GHz band. Adjacent 20 MHz channels are used on a single path now, so the Alcatel argument that satellite users will be adversely affected will only apply to a limited number of poorly selected earth station locations.

In the lower 6 GHz band, the Alcatel plan continues to endorse sacrificing two of the existing eight wideband channel pairs for use by narrowband systems. This band is not underutilized by wideband systems and planning by most carriers, including MCI as the chart above shows, is based on the availability of frequency additions to a full eight channel pair configuration. This band is a model of efficient spectrum reuse because of the use of highly directional antennas and the early adoption of automatic transmitter power control (ATPC) to further reduce intersystem interference. None of the eight wideband channel pairs in the lower 6 GHz band should be made available for narrowband systems.

At 11 GHz, the Alcatel plan allows for 30 MHz channels, but spaces them out where only twelve pairs are available.

MCI has recently planned several paths using a frequency

plan of sixteen 30 MHz channel pairs, thereby improving the traffic carrying capability of the band by 33% from currently available radios. The Alcatel plan does not accomplish this and is pointless.

The Alcatel plan to allow for growth without frequency or polarization changes leaves gaps in the channel plans, sacrificing the chance for maximum spectrum utilization. This idea also means that narrowband channels will quickly block all of the wideband channels. Under their plan, for example, the first three 10 MHz users in the lower 6 GHz band will use 5945.20 MHz, 5974.85 MHz, and 6004.50 MHz and block the first three 30 MHz channels rather than use 5935.32 MHz, 5945.20 MHz, and 5955.08 MHz and block only the first 30 MHz channel. Their plan definitely provides for a convenient growth capability, but at the expense of efficient use of the spectrum. Such a situation cannot be allowed.

A lack of narrowband channel allocations will exist in the lower frequencies if these MCI comments are adopted. The only apparent solution is to aggressively pursue the sharing of government spectrum at 1710-1850 MHz and 3600-3700 MHz. This action appears long overdue.

To reiterate the MCI position on this matter, there is still a market for expanding long-haul, wide-bandwidth, common carrier microwave radio networks. The economics of building these systems usually require initial construction

with minimum capacity and future expansion as market development dictates. The chart above demonstrates this for the MCI system. Several FNPRM commenters cited the need for future growth channel protection on microwave routes and MCI wholeheartedly agrees. Absence of this capability will result in many poorly-served intermediate size markets. Protection of future growth frequencies within the current common carrier frequency coordination process has produced very few unresolvable conflicts; parameter changes resolve most conflicts and surrendering of growth channels on request resolves most of the rest. MCI strongly encourages the Commission to preserve wideband-only frequency allocations in the 4 GHz and lower 6 GHz bands and allow growth channel protection capabilities for these systems.

Respectfully submitted, MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION

Bv:

· Day

Larry M. Blosser Donald J Elardo

David R. Mason 400 International Pkwy. Richardson, TX 75081

1001 Domestics

(214) 918-4681

1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. (202) 887-2727

Technical Staff Member

Its Attorneys

Dated: June 14, 1993

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Vernell V. Garey, do hereby certify that copies of the foregoing "Comments" were served by first class mail, postage paid (unless otherwise indicated) on the following parties this 14th day of June 1993:

Vernell V. Garey

Dr. Thomas P. Stanley, Chief\*
Office of Engineering and
Technology
Federal Communications Commission
2025 M Street, N.W., Room 7002
Washington, D.C. 20554

Bruce A. Franca, Deputy Chief\* Office of Engineering and Technology Federal Communications Commission 2025 M Street, N.W., Room 7002 Washington, D.C. 20554

David R. Siddall, Esq.\*
Chief, Frequency Allocation
Branch
Office of Engineering and
Technology
Federal Communications Commission
2025 M Street N.W., Room 7102
Washington, D.C. 20554

Rodney Small\*
Office of Engineering and
Technology

Damon Ladson\*
Office of Engineering and
Technology
Federal Communications Commission
2025 M Street, N.W., Room 7102
Washington, D.C. 20554

Mr. Robert Pepper, Chief\*
Office of Plans and Policy
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 822
Washington, D.C. 20554

Mr. Ralph Haller, Chief\*
Private Radio Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2025 M Street, N.W., Room 502
Washington, D.C. 20554

Kathleen Levitz, Chief\*
Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 500
Washington, D.C. 20554

) IT

Jeffrey L. Sheldon, Esq. Utilities Telecommunications Council 1140 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 1140 Washington, D.C. 20036

Wayne V. Black, Esq.
Keller & Heckman
1001 G Street, N.W.
Suite 500 West
Washington, D.C. 20001
Counsel for American Petroleum
Institute

Francine J. Berry, Esq. AT&T 295 North Maple Avenue Room 3244J1 Basking Ridge, New Jersey 07920

Philip V. Otero, Esq. GE American Communications, Inc. 1331 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004

Benjamin J. Griffin, Esq. Reed, Smith, Shaw & McClay 1200 18th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036 Counsel for Home Box Office

Gary M. Epstein, Esq.
Latham & Watkins
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 1300
Washington, D.C. 20004
Counsel for Hughes Communications
Galaxy, Inc.

Edward E. Reinhart Chairman, Technical Committee Satellite Broadcasting and Communications Association 225 Reinekers Lane, Suite 1600 Alexandria, Virginia 22314

Peter J. Loewenstein Vice President for Distribution National Public Radio, Inc. 2025 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036 Martin T. McCue, Esq. General Counsel U.S. Telephone Association 900 19th Street, N.W. Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20006-2105

Daniel L. Bart, Esq. GTE Service Corporation 1850 M Street, N.W., Suite 1200 Washington, D.C. 20036

Albert Halprin, Esq.
Halprin & Goodman
1301 K Street, N.W.
Suite 1020, East Tower
Washington, D.C. 20005
Counsel for Northern Telecom

George Petrutsas, Esq.
Fletcher, Held & Hildreth
1225 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20036-2679
Counsel for Harris CorporationFarinon Division and Digital
Microwave Corporation

Andrew D. Lipman, Esq. Swindler & Berlin 3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20007 Counsel for Telesciences, Inc.

Eric Schimmel, Vice-President Telecommunications Industry Association 2001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20006-1813

Margaret deB. Brown, Esq. Pacific Telesis Group 130 Kearny Street, Room 3659 San Francisco, California 94108

William L. Roughton, Jr., Esq. The Bell Atlantic Companies 1710 H Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

Jonathan D. Blake, Esq.
Covington & Burlig
P.O. Box 7566
Washington, D.C. 20044
Counsel for American Personal
Communications

Richard H. Strodel, Esq. Haley, Bader & Potts 4350 North Fairfax Drive Suite 900 Arlington, Virginia 22203-1633 Counsel for Western Tele-Communications, Inc.

Sambran Sandoval President Natioanl Spectrum Managers Association, Inc. P.O. Box 8378 Denver, Colorado 80201

Michael J. Morris Vice President SR Telecom, Inc. 8150 Trans-Canada Highway St. Laurent, Quebec Canada H4S 1M5

Peter Tannenwald, Esq.
Arent, Fox, Kinter, Plotkin & Kahn
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036-5339
Counsel for Public Broadcasting
Service

Henry L. Baumann, Esq.
Executive Vice President and
General Counsel
National Association of
Broadcasters
1771 N Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

Michael D. Kennedy Director, Regulatory Relations Motorola, Inc. 1350 I Street, N.W., Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20005 Stuart F. Feldstein, Esq. Fleischman & Walsh, P.C. 1400 16th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036 Counsel for Associated PCN Company and Associated Communications of Los Angeles

Thomas J. Keller, Esq.
Verner, Liipfert, Bernhard,
McPherson & Hand, Chartered
901 15th Street, N.W., Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20005
Counsel for Association of
American Railroads and Lower
Colorado River Authority